

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WENDELL COLEMAN,

Petitioner,

v.

NAPA SUPERIOR COURT,

Respondent.

Case No. [21-cv-08970-HSG](#)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

On or about September 27, 2021, Petitioner filed this *pro se* petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, in the Ninth Circuit. Dkt. No. 1 at 1; Dkt. No. 1-1.¹ On October 18, 2021, the Ninth Circuit ordered the matter be transferred to this district court. Dkt. No. 1-1. On November 19, 2021, the Clerk of the Court informed Petitioner that this action was deficient because he had not submitted an *in forma pauperis* application or paid the filing fee, and that he needed to correct the deficiency within twenty-eight days from the date of the notice to avoid dismissal. Dkt. No. 2. The deadline has passed, and Petitioner has not submitted the required documents.

//

//

//

//

//


//

¹ Petitioner has filed at least nine petitions for a writ of habeas corpus in the last three years. *See, e.g.,* C No. 18-cv-03439 LHK, *Coleman v. Nguyen, et al.*; C No. 18-cv-03489 LHK, *Coleman v. Napa County Jail*; C No. 18-cv-06815 LHK, *Coleman v. Boegenecker*; C No. 19-cv-01439 LHK, *In re Coleman*; C No. 21-cv-01195 LHK, *Coleman v. People of Calif.*

1 The Court therefore DISMISSES this action without prejudice and denies all pending
2 motions as moot. Because this dismissal is without prejudice, Petitioner may move to reopen the
3 action. Any such motion must contain either the full filing fee or a complete *in forma pauperis*
4 application.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 Dated: 2/15/2022

7 
8 HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.
9 United States District Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28